

## INDEX

	Page
Opinion below .....	1
Jurisdiction .....	1
Question presented .....	2
Statutes involved .....	2
Statement .....	3
Argument .....	6
Conclusion .....	7

## CITATIONS

### CASES:

<i>Gompers v. Bucks Stove &amp; Range Co.</i> , 221 U.S. 418 .....	7
<i>Howat v. Kansas</i> , 258 U.S. 181 .....	7
<i>Seven Cases v. United States</i> , 239 U.S. 510 .....	7
<i>United States v. United Mine Workers of America</i> , 330 U.S. 258 .....	7
<i>United States v. Wilhelm Reich Foundation</i> , 17 F.R.D. 96, affirmed <i>per curiam</i> , <i>sub. nom. Baker et al v. United</i> <i>States</i> , 221 F. 2d 957, certiorari denied, 350 U.S. 842....	6

### STATUTES:

18 U.S.C. 401 .....	2, 3
Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act of 1938, 52 Stat. 1040, <i>et seq.</i> :	
Sec. 301(a), 21 U.S.C. 331(a) .....	2
Sec. 301(h), 21 U.S.C. 331(k) .....	2
Sec. 302(a), 21 U.S.C. 332(a) .....	2, 3
Sec. 302(b), 21 U.S.C. 332(b) .....	3

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

OCTOBER TERM, 1956

---

No. 688

WILHELM REICH, THE WILHELM REICH FOUNDATION,  
AND MICHAEL SILVERT, *Petitioners*

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

---

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court  
of Appeals for the First Circuit

---

BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES IN OPPOSITION

---

**OPINION BELOW**

The opinion of the Court of Appeals (Pet. 1a-8a)  
is not yet reported.

**JURISDICTION**

The judgment of the Court of Appeals was entered  
on December 11, 1956 (Pet. 9a). The petition for a  
writ of certiorari was filed on January 10, 1957. The  
jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C.  
1254(1).

(1)

**QUESTION PRESENTED**

Whether, in a contempt proceeding for admitted violation of an injunction, petitioners could attack the injunction as obtained by fraud of government agents.

**STATUTES INVOLVED**

18 U.S.C. 401 provides:

A court of the United States shall have power to punish by fine or imprisonment, at its discretion, such contempt of its authority, and none other, as—

\* \* \*

(3) Disobedience or resistance to its lawful writ, process, order, rule, decree, or command.

Sections 301(a) and (k), and Section 302(a) and (b) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act of 1938, 52 Stat. 1042, 1043, 21 U.S.C. 331(a) and (k), and 332(a) and (b), provide:

Sec. 301. The following acts and the causing thereof are hereby prohibited:

(a) The introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of any food, drug, device, or cosmetic that is adulterated or misbranded.

\* \* \*

(k) The alteration, mutilation, destruction, obliteration, or removal of the whole or any part of the labeling of, or the doing of any other act with respect to, a food, drug, device, or cosmetic, if such act is done while such article is held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce and results in such article being misbranded.

Sec. 302. (a) The district courts of the United States and the United States courts of the Terri-

tories shall have jurisdiction, for cause shown, and subject to the provisions of section 17 (relating to notice to opposite party) of the Act entitled "An Act to supplement existing laws against unlawful restraints and monopolies, and for other purposes", approved October 15, 1914, as amended (U.S.C. 1934 ed., title 28, sec. 381), to restrain violations of section 301, except paragraphs (e), (f), (h), (i), and (j).

(b) In case of violation of an injunction or restraining order issued under this section, which also constitutes a violation of this Act, trial shall be by the court, or, upon demand of the accused, by a jury. Such trial shall be conducted in accordance with the practice and procedure applicable in the case of proceedings subject to the provisions of section 22 of such Act of October 15, 1914, as amended (U.S.C. 1934 ed., title 28, sec. 387).

**STATEMENT**

The petition for a writ of certiorari seeks review of the affirmance of a judgment of contempt imposed after conviction by a jury under 18 U.S.C. 401 and Section 302(b) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act of 1938 (*supra*) for violating the terms of an injunction issued by the United States District Court for the District of Maine. Wilhelm Reich was sentenced to a term of two years' imprisonment; Michael Silvert was sentenced to a term of one year and one day; and the Wilhelm Reich Foundation was fined \$10,000 (R. 367). Their convictions were affirmed on appeal (Pet. 9a).

The injunction decree, which petitioners were found to have violated, was issued on March 19, 1954, under the authority of Section 302(a) of the Federal Food,

Drug and Cosmetic Act (*supra*, pp. 2-3), and was obtained upon default (R. 441). The only response to the complaint filed by the government was contained in a letter from petitioner Reich to the District Court in which Reich stated that his position in the world of science would not permit his appearance in the case since it would imply an admission of the authority of the government to pass judgment on "cosmic orgone energy" (Pet. 2a).

The decree (R. 441-446) enjoined, *inter alia*, Wilhelm Reich, the Wilhelm Reich Foundation, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from violating the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, by shipping in interstate commerce certain devices known as "orgone energy accumulators" with misleading labeling to the effect that their use would be beneficial in the cure, mitigation, treatment, and prevention of a great number of diseases ranging from the common cold to cancer. The decree also contained certain affirmative directives (R. 443-446), to the effect that orgone energy accumulator devices owned or controlled by the defendants, together with the literature constituting their labeling, which had been shipped in interstate commerce, should be recalled to the defendant's place of business and dismantled for salvage or destroyed; that certain identified items of printed matter should be destroyed and others should not again be employed as labeling; and that compliance should be under the supervision of employees of the Food and Drug Administration. Petitioners do not deny that they were served a copy of the court injunction and that they disobeyed its terms.

On October 10, 1955, this criminal contempt action was commenced against petitioners by the filing of an information charging them with disobedience of the terms of the injunction (R. 470-482). At a hearing on November 4, 1955, petitioner Reich moved that the information be dismissed on the ground that the injunction was obtained by the misrepresentation and concealment of facts and illegal procedural maneuvering (R. 512). Petitioner Reich offered to show that the government misrepresented the facts, and thus practiced fraud upon the court, when it charged, in the complaint for the injunction, that his orgone energy accumulator was a fraudulent device (R. 513, 515, 519, 520). The court explained that petitioner Reich was in the wrong forum and should have made such a showing when he had the opportunity to defend against the complaint for an injunction, instead of refusing to appear, thus forcing the court to accept the facts alleged in the complaint as true (R. 514, 515-516, 519, 520).

At a pretrial hearing on the contempt information, the court refused to consider petitioner Reich's motive in defaulting in the action for the injunction (which Reich explained was based on the fact that the court had no jurisdiction over basic natural science and because of a conspiracy against him) (R. 514, 517). It ruled that the jurisdiction of the court in the injunction proceeding had already been affirmatively determined in the injunction proceeding itself, and also by the Court of Appeals in a separate action (R. 516-517).<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> After the default decree was entered against the defendants in the action for an injunction, a group of men, including petitioner Silvert, who claimed they used the science of organomy in their

At the trial of the case before the jury, evidence was introduced to establish the disobedience to the injunction (see, e.g., R. 170, 189, 193-194, 197-198, 244-245, 248-249, 262, 268-269, 282, 285, 320, 343). Petitioner Reich admitted that he did not obey the injunction (R. 117, 329, 336, 532). Petitioner Silvert, in answer to a query by petitioner Reich, conceded that they (he and Reich) were determined to resist the injunction, went about armed, and were ready to go to jail rather than submit to its terms (R. 343).

#### ARGUMENT

Petitioners do not deny that they had notice of the injunction and disobeyed its terms. They renew here the arguments made before the courts below that the court issuing the injunction had no jurisdiction, on the broad ground that the government has no jurisdiction over basic natural science, and on the more specific ground that the injunction was obtained through fraud.

1. The issue of jurisdiction over basic natural science is not involved in this case as the District Court pointed out to petitioners at the pre-trial hearing (R. 515). This injunction enjoins petitioners from shipping misbranded devices through interstate commerce and restrains them from doing anything to the devices while held for sale after such shipment, which results in their becoming misbranded. Petitioners may experiment as they please and hold such theories as they choose. They may not make

practice of psychiatry, attempted to intervene to have the judgment set aside and to permit them to answer the complaint. Their petition was denied. *United States v. Wilhelm Reich Foundation*, 17 F.R.D. 96, affirmed *per curiam, sub. nom. Baker et al v. United States*, 221 F. 2d 957 (C.A. 1), certiorari denied, 350 U.S. 842.

false assertions of fact with respect to the curative power of articles shipped through the channels of interstate commerce. *Seven Cases v. United States*, 239 U.S. 510, 516-517.

2. The accusation of fraud, misrepresentation, and misconduct of the government officials in obtaining the injunction, whatever their validity, have no relevance in a contempt proceeding for violation of the injunction. The issue may be raised by an independent action to have the injunction vacated under the provisions of Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. As long as an injunction is in force and effect, however, it must be obeyed. See *United States v. United Mine Workers of America*, 330 U.S. 258, 293; *Howat v. Kansas*, 258 U.S. 181, 189-190. To hold otherwise would make a mockery of the judicial power of the United States. *Gompers v. Bucks Stove & Range Co.*, 221 U.S. 418, 450.

#### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, we respectfully submit that this petition for a writ of certiorari should be denied.

J. LEE RANKIN,  
*Solicitor General.*

WARREN OLNEY III,  
*Assistant Attorney General.*

BEATRICE ROSENBERG,  
ISABELLE R. CAPPELLO,  
*Attorneys.*

FEBRUARY, 1957.

## **Projeto Arte Org**

### **Redescobrimo e reinterpretando W. Reich**

Caro Leitor

Infelizmente, no que se refere a orgonomia, seguir os passos de Wilhelm Reich e de sua equipe de investigadores é uma questão bastante difícil, polêmica e contraditória, cheia de diferentes interpretações que mais confundem do que ajudam.

Por isto, nós decidimos trabalhar com o material bibliográfico presente nos microfilmes (Wilhelm Reich Collected Works Microfilms) em forma de PDF, disponibilizados por Eva Reich que já se encontra circulado pela internet, e que abarca o desenvolvimento da orgonomia de 1941 a 1957.

Dividimos este “material” de acordo com as revistas publicadas pelo instituto de orgonomia do qual o Reich era o diretor.

01- International Journal of Sex Economy and Orgone Research (1942-1945).

02- Orgone Energy Bulletin (1949-1953)

03- CORE Cosmic Orgone Engineering (1954-1956)

E logo dividimos estas revistas de acordo com seus artigos, apresentando-os de forma separada (em PDF), o que facilita a organizá-los por assunto ou temas.

Assim, cada qual pode seguir o rumo de suas leituras de acordo com os temas de seu interesse.

Todo o material estará disponível em inglês na nuvem e poderá ser acessado a partir de nossas páginas Web.

Sendo que nosso intuito aqui é simplesmente divulgar a orgonomia, e as questões que a ela se refere, de acordo com o próprio Reich e seus colaboradores diretos relativos e restritos ao tempo e momento do próprio Reich.

Quanto ao caminho e as postulações de cada um destes colaboradores depois da morte de Reich, já é uma questão que extrapola nossas possibilidades e nossos interesses. Sendo que aqui somente podemos ser responsáveis por nós mesmos e com muitas restrições.

Alguns destes artigos, de acordo com nossas possibilidades e interesse, já estamos traduzindo.

Não somos tradutores especializados e, portanto, pedimos a sua compreensão para possíveis erros que venham a encontrar.

Em nome da comunidade Arte Org.

Textos da área da Peste emocional e sociedade parte dois. O caso da corte suprema. E.U.A. versus Reich.

Texts from the area emotional plague and society part two. The Supreme Court case. U.S.A. versus Reich.

-----

A Court Case

-----

-----  
The background to Court Case  
-----  
-----

01 T.P. Wolfe. Emotional Plague versus Orgone Biophysics 1946  
McF 515 T.P. Wolfe. Emotional Plague versus Orgone Biophysics 1946  
Interval 2-25 Pag. 1-47

02 Wilhelm Reich Oranur Project 1950  
McF 517 Oranur Project. Orgone Energy Emergency Bulletin No. 1 1950  
Interval 1-7 Pag. 1-12

03 Wilhelm Reich Conspiracy Incomplete Set Filed enth Federal District Court 1952  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 1-1 Pag. 1-1

04 Wilhelm Reich The Blackening Rochs Melanor 1952  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 2-34 Pag. 28-40

05 Letter FBI Archives of the Orgone Institute 1953  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 35-35 Pag. 1-1

06 Supplement 1 William Washington file Archives of the Orgone Institute 1947-1953  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 36-115 Pag. 1-80

07 Dor clouds over the U.S.A. 1954  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 117-137 Pag.111-131

08 A leap into the future - Committee for Protectin of the Environment 1970  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 138-147 Pag. 1-10

09 Chester M. Raphael Dor Sickness A review of Reichs Findingst 1954  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 148-156 Pag. 17-26

10 Michael Silvert Appendix Dor Sickness in New York City - Observations by Lay People 1953  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 156-169 Pag. 26-39

11 The National Health Federation ?  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 170-170 Pag. 1-1

12 Table of Events 1942-1954  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 171-187 Pag. 1-17

13 The doors were closing - Archives of the Orgone Institute 1940-1947  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 188-212 Pag. 1-25

14 In favor of Orgonomy and the living orgone versus emotional plague, F.B.I. and F.D.A 1947-1952  
McF 519 Conspiracy Incomplete Set 1952  
Interval 213-374 Pag. 1-162

15 Wilhelm Reich The Einstein Affair 1953  
McF 516 Wilhelm Reich The Einstein Affair, 1953  
Interval 1-102 Pag. I-V and 1-102

16 Wilhelm Reich Response to Ignorance 1955  
McF 512 Wilhelm Reich Biographical Material, History of the Discovery of the Life 1955  
Interval 1-19 Pag. I-II and 1-33

-----

-----

A Court Case

-----

-----

01 Wilhelm Reich et al vs. U.S.A. Vol. 1 1954-1957  
McF 405 A Court Case I. 1954-1957  
Interval 2-1 Pag. 1-32



02 Wilhelm Reich The Red Thread of a Conspiracy 1955  
McF 405 A Court Case I. 1954-1957  
Interval 2-22 Pag. 1-32

03 Appendix Biographical History of the Discovery of the Life Energy 1942-1954  
McF 405 A Court Case I. 1954-1957  
Interval 22-33 Pag. 33-54

04 Wilhelm Reich Atoms for Peace vs the Higs 1956  
McF 405 A Court Case I. 1954-1957  
Interval 34-44 Pag. 1-15

05 Documentary Appendix 1954-1956  
McF 405 A Court Case I. 1954-1957  
Interval 45-55 Pag. 17-36

06 Wilhelm Reich et al vs. U.S.A. Vol. 2 1954-1957  
McF 406 A Court Case II 1954-1957  
Interval 1-1 Pag. 1.1

07 Record Appendix to Briefs for Appellants 1956  
McF 406 A Court Case II 1954-1957  
Interval 2-133 Pag. I-VIII and 1-254

08 Wilhelm Reich et al vs. U.S.A. Vol. 3 1954-1957  
McF 407 A Court Case III 1954-1957  
Interval 1-7 Pag. I-VIII

09 Suppressed Documentary Evidence 1956  
McF 407 A Court Case III 1954-1957  
Interval 7-114 Pag. 1-183

10 Wilhelm Reich et al vs. U.S.A. Vol. 4 1954-1957  
McF 501 A Court Case IV 1954-1957  
Interval 1-3 Pag. I-III

11 Records Docketed by Clerk of Trial Court 1954-1956  
McF 501 A Court Case IV 1954-1957  
Interval 4-91 Pag. 374-544

12 Wilhelm Reich et al vs. U.S.A. Vol. 5 1954-1957  
McF 502 A Court Case V 1954-1957  
Interval 1-2 Pag. I-II

13 Wilhelm Reich. Contact With Space. Oranur Second Report (1951-1956) Orop Desert (1954-1955)  
McF 502 A Court Case V 1954-1957  
Interval 3-148 Pag. I-XXIII and 1-265

14 Wilhelm Reich. Contact With Space Oranur Second Report 1951-1956  
McF 503 A Court Case VI 1954-1957  
Interval 1-148 Pag. I-XXIII and 1-265

15 Brief for Wilhelm Reich Appellant 1956  
McF 504 A Court Case VII 1954-1957  
Interval 1-3 Pag. I-III

16 Crossroads Ahead 1951-1956  
McF 504 A Court Case VII 1954-1957  
Interval 4-29 Pag. 1-51

17 Documentary Appendix 1956  
McF 504 A Court Case VII 1954-1957  
Interval 30-51 Pag. 1r-43r

18 Brief for Michael Silvert, appellant, Orgonomic Physician 1956  
McF 505 A Court Case VIII 1954-1957  
Interval 1-3 Pag. I-III

19 The Nature of Assault upon Orgonomy 1954-1956  
McF 505 A Court Case VIII 1954-1957  
Interval 4-31 Pag. 1-55

20 Wilhelm Reich Foundation vs. U.S.A. 1954-1957  
McF 506 A Court Case IX 1954-1957  
Interval 1-3 Pag. I-II

21 Brief for Appellant, The Wilhelm Reich Foundation 1956  
McF 506 A Court Case IX 1954-1957

Interval 3-9 Pag. 1-12

22 Wilhelm Reich vs. U.S.A. 1954-1957

McF 507 A Court Case X 1954-1957

Interval 1-3 Pag. I-II

23 Reply Brief for Wilhelm Reich M.D. 1956

McF 507 A Court Case X 1954-1957

Interval 3-12 Pag. 1-19

24 Appendix 1956

McF 507 A Court Case X 1954-1957

Interval 13-29 Pag. 1a-33a

25 Wilhelm Reich Foundation vs. U.S.A. 1954-1957

McF 508 A Court Case XI 1954-1957

Interval 1-3 Pag. I-III

26 Reply Brief for the Wilhelm Reich Foundation 1956

McF 508 A Court Case XI 1954-1957

Interval 4-7 Pag. 1-6

27 Petition for a writ of Certiorari 1957

McF 509 A Court Case XII 1954-1957

Interval 1-6 Pag. I-IX

28 Petition to the United States Court of Appeals 1957

McF 509 A Court Case XII 1954-1957

Interval 7-37 Pag. 1-61

Petition for a writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit,

Supreme Court of the United States, October Term 1956 Number 688

"Wilhelm Reich, the Wilhelm Reich Foundation and Michael Silvert Petitioners vs. United States of America,

Washington Jan. 10, 1957 (denied 2/25/1957)

29 Appendix 1956

McF 509 A Court Case XII 1954-1957

Interval 38-52 Pag. 1a-29a

30 Supreme Court of the United States No 688 October Term 1956  
McF 510 A Court Case XIII 1954-1957  
Interval 1-2 Pag. Pag. I-II

31 Brief for the United States in Opposition  
McF 510 A Court Case XIII 1954-1957  
Interval 3-6 Pag. 1-7

32 Wilhelm Reich vs. U.S.A. 1954-1957  
McF 511 A Court Case XIV 1954-1957  
Interval 1-2 Pag. I-II

33 Petitioners Reply Brief - Feb. 18, 1957  
McF 511 A Court Case XIV 1954-1957  
Interval 3-8 Pag. 1-11

34 Appendix 1957  
McF 511 A Court Case XIV 1954-1957  
Interval 9-13 Pag. 1a-9a